

# **Stability of Freshwater Runoff in East Greenland Currents**

### Scott R. Smith, Robert W. Helber, and Harpreet Kaur

US Naval Research Lab, Ocean Dynamics and Prediction, Stennis Space Center, MS, USA

2024 Ocean Prediction Symposium, Nov 18-22, Paris

- 1. Climate is changing more rapidly at high latitudes
  - Warm Atlantic Ocean waters intrude further into the Arctic Ocean
  - Melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet provides increasing freshwater and ice runoff into the ocean
- 2. High North Atlantic is where deep water is formed
  - The location of deep convection has moved southward



Distribution Statement A. Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.



 High resolution ocean modeling to 75°N understand the dynamic mechanisms 70°N causing cold/dense water mass formation 65°N and intrusions in the 60°N tactically relevant Irminger and Iceland 55°N Basins (GIUK Gap).

80°N



 water-mass intrusions cause exploitable subsurface acoustic sound channels.





What are the potential mechanisms causing deep water convection to shift southward?



Adapted from Petit et. al., 2020



Potential mechanisms causing convection:

- Small scale dynamic features causing Spill Jet from the East Greenland Current
  - a) Instability perturbations
  - b) Cyclonic eddies in the shelf break front
- 2. Cold-air-outbreaks
- 3. Sea ice coverage
- 4. Fresh water runoff





Potential mechanisms causing convection:

- Small scale dynamic features causing Spill Jet from the East Greenland Current
  - a) Instability perturbations
  - b) Cyclonic eddies in the shelf break front
- 2. Cold-air-outbreaks
- 3. Sea ice coverage
- 4. Fresh water runoff





## **Coarse Navy Coastal Ocean Model**

#### Coarse Navy Coastal Ocean Model (NCOM):

**Formulation:** primitive equation, hydrostatic, Boussinesq ,free-surface sigma/z-level coordinate

**Tides:** barotropic surface pressure, barotropic height converted to velocities at the boundary

**Resolution:** 4km horizontal (801 x 485 gridpoints), 100 vertical level, Lambert Conformal Grid

**Boundary conditions**: Navy Global Ocean Forecasting System (HYCOM), res. 1/12, 41 levels

**Surface Forcing**: Navy Global Environmental (Atmospheric) Model (NAVGEM) 1.4, 37 km res., 50 levels, spectral model



NCOM: 100 fixed sigma/z-levels at 4 km horizontal resolution with tides



## **Fine Nested Navy Coastal Ocean Model**

#### Fine Navy Coastal Ocean Model (NCOM):

**Formulation:** primitive equation, hydrostatic, Boussinesq ,free-surface sigma/z-level coordinate

**Tides:** barotropic surface pressure, barotropic height converted to velocities at the boundary

Resolution: 1km horizontal (1730 x 1910 gridpoints), 100 vertical level, Lambert Conformal Grid

Boundary conditions: Coarse resolution NCOM

**Surface Forcing**: Navy Global Environmental (Atmospheric) Model (NAVGEM) 1.4, 37 km res., 50 levels, spectral model

Processing: 192 processors on Narwhal.



NCOM: 100 fixed sigma/z-levels at 1 km horizontal resolution with tides



### **Greenland Freshwater Runoff**



- NCOM includes freshwater volume flux from river sources, but not from ice melt.
- 625 'river sources' were added to NCOM to include the ice and tundra runoff from Greenland, Iceland, and Svalbard.
- Runoff is 0°C and 0 PSU.



Bamber, J. L., et al. (2018). "Land Ice Freshwater Budget of the Arctic and North Atlantic Oceans: 1. Data, Methods, and Results." Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans 123(3): 1827-1837.



### Water Column Salt Mass of Coarse NCOM



The salt mass in kg, averaged over the month of June for the standard experiment.

Water Column Salt Mass  $\bar{M}_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=nz_{ij}} \rho_{ijk} S_{ijk} \Delta V_{ijk}$ 

### Water Column Salt Mass of Coarse NCOM

**U.S.NAVA** 



The runoff experiment is fresher near the coast and there is a lot of variability along the shelf break



### Water Column Salt Mass Change of Coarse NCOM



Freshwater tends to stay on the shelf until July, and then begins leaking into deep <sub>11</sub>



### **Coarse NCOM Compared to Observations**





 Profile observations of temperature and salinity are compared to Coarse NCOM with and without freshwater runoff for all of 2019.

Freshwater runoff experiment is more accurate than the standard experiment for both temperature and salinity

### **Spill Jet Location**





**U.S.NAVA** 

The spill jet transect is an important location because water tends to come off the shelf here



### **Transect of Salinity along Spill Jet**





### **Comparison of Coarse and Fine Resolution**

Runoff

34.0

35.5 The fine resolution experiment is fresher and 70°N 68°N 34 5 more variable on the surface of the shelf and is 66°I Spill Jet 33.5 diffusing into the deep faster 33.0 62°N 32.5 30°W 20°W **Salinity Difference Standard Deviation** (Fine - Coarse) (Fine - Coarse) 0 1.00 1.00 250 250 0.75 - 0.75 Runoff 500 500 0.50 - 0.50 **Experiments** 750 750 0.25 0.25 Depth 1000 nsd (June) Jepth 0001 0.00 0.00 1250 1250 -0.25-0.251500 1500 -0.50-0.501750 1750 - -0.75 -0.752000 2000 -1.00-1.00327.4 327.6 327.8 326.6 326.8 327.0 327.2 326.4 326.4 326.6 326.8 327.0 327.2 327.4 327.6 327.8 15 Lonaitude Longitude



- Adding runoff to the coarse experiment created fresher water near the coast and pockets of saltier water in areas of high instability, such as along the shelf break
- Freshwater tended to stay on the shelf for the first half of the year, then it began to leak into the deep
- The runoff experiment is more accurate than the standard experiment for both temperature and salinity
- The fine resolution experiment is fresher and more variable on the surface of the shelf and diffuses into the deep faster